

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,535	01/16/2002	Siegbert Vial	3241-72	4319	
8933	7590 12/08/2003	EXAMINER			
DUANE MORRIS, LLP ATTN: WILLIAM H. MURRAY ONE LIBERTY PLACE 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396			PRUNNER, K.	PRUNNER, KATHLEEN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		1./			
1 /*		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/889,535	VIAL, SIEGBERT			
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kathleen J. Prunner	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for reference if NO period for reference in the period for reference in the period in t	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION he may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. pelly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periodithin the set or extended period for reply will, by stated by the Office later than three months after the main adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejepty within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	September 2003 and 30 Oct	<u>ober 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠ This act	☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of CI	aims					
5)⊠ Claim(s 6)⊠ Claim(s 7)∐ Claim(s	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 22 and 30 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,8,10-13,16-21,23-29 and 31-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Pape	ers					
10)⊠ The draw Applican Replacei 11)□ The oath	cification is objected to by the Examinating(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> in the may not request that any objection to the ment drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt or declaration is objected to by the 5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	is/are: a) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted or b) are drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
a) All by 1. C 2. C 3. C 3. C 4 * See the a 13) Acknowle since a sp 37 CFR 1 a) The 14) Acknowle	pecific reference was included in the	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). est of the certified copies not restic priority under 35 U.S.C. of the specifical provisional application has been estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage eceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Ini	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The proposed drawing correction, filed on September 8, 2003 (Paper No. 13), has been approved by the Examiner.
- 2. The substitute sheets of formal drawings, filed on September 8, 2003 (Paper No. 13), have been disapproved by the Draftsman as noted on the attached Form PTO-948. Corrected formal drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) **not mentioned** in the description: (A) 50b (note Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c and 5, illustration "B"); (B) 41a (note Figs. 7a and 7b); and (C) 26 (note Figs. 7a and 7c). A proposed drawing correction or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "41" and "42" have both been used to designate the same channel portion (note Figs. 2a, 2b, 7a, 7b, 7c, 7d, 8a and 8b). A proposed drawing correction is required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters " $\mathbf{y_i}$ " and "27" have both been used to designate a distance (note Fig. 8b). A proposed drawing correction is required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3751

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference

character "34" has been used to designate both an inner part (note Fig. 7a and lines 8-9 on page 20)

and a shoulder (note line 19 on page 20). A proposed drawing correction or amendment to the

specification to correct the description is required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment

of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

7. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in response to this Office

action. Any proposal by applicant for amendment of the drawings to cure defects must consist of

two parts:

a) A separate letter to the Draftsman in accordance with MPEP § 608.02(r); and

b) A print or pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink in accordance with MPEP §

608.02(v).

IMPORTANT NOTE: The filing of new formal drawings to correct the noted defect may

be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner, but the print or pen-and-ink sketch with

proposed corrections shown in red ink is required in response to this Office action, and may not be

deferred.

Specification

8. The substitute specification, filed September 8, 2003 (as part of the amendment, Paper No.

12), has been entered. However, the pages of the substitute specification are not numbered

consecutively, starting with 1, as required by 37 CFR 1.52(b). Therefore, the Examiner has

renumbered the pages of the substitute specification from 1 to 21 with page 10 being numbered as

page 1 and page 30 being numbered as page 21.

9. The following informalities in the specification are noted: (A) on page 19, line 15, "34"

should read --35--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3751

10. The following informalities in the claims are noted: (A) in claims 1, 28, 30, 31 and 34, in line 1, "Writing instrument" should read --A writing instrument--; (B) in claims 2-5, 8, 10-13, 16-27, 29, 32, 33 and 35, on line 1, "Writing instrument" should read --The writing instrument--; and (C) in claim 30, on line 13, --said-- should be inserted after "coupling". Appropriate correction is required.

11. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed terminology. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). The claimed terminology which lacks such antecedent basis is as follows: (A) "said tip device is variably inclinable to an inclination", as now called for by claim 1; (B) "a leaf shaped spring", as now called for by claim 1; (C) "a . . . spring is provided at a backwards facing end portion of said tip device, for contacting an inner wall of said shaft", as now called for by claim 1; (D) "said tip device is variably inclinable", as now called for by claims 28, 30, 31 and 34. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 12. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 13. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-13, 16, 17, 19-21, 23-27 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 now calls for the leaf spring being provided "for effecting a resetting force upon an increase of said inclination angle of said tip device or a return force, increasing when said control increases the pivot action of the tip device". However, the originally filed disclosure fails to support that the leaf spring effects such a resetting

Art Unit: 3751

force or a return force. Hence claims 1-5, 8, 10-13, 16, 17, 19-21, 23-27 and 35 are directed to new

matter.

14. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the

relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention. Claim 29 calls for the tip device to be flattened "opposite to each of said guiding means".

However, the originally filed disclosure fails to support such a disposition. Hence claim 29 is

directed to new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in

a prior Office action.

16. Claims 28 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garvey.

Garvey discloses a writing or inscribing instrument having all the claimed features including a

sleeve-shaped shaft A, a main axis (note the axis formed by parts A, C and B in Fig. 1), a terminal

part at a rear end of the shaft A (note the top end of shaft A in Fig. 1), a substantially conical tip

device (constituted by lower member B as shown in Fig. 1) at a front end portion of the shaft A, the

tip device being variably inclinable by being controlled by the pressure applied to the tip (note lines

45-48 on page 2) and tiltable or pivotable or inclinable (note lines 36-38 on page 2) in a pivot plane

comprising the main axis and relative to the shaft A (note Fig. 5), and an inclination angle of a cone

axis of the tip device B being adjustable in relation to the main axis (note Fig. 5 and lines 32-48 on

page 2). With respect to claim 28, Garvey also discloses guiding means (constituted by the unslotted

portions of member B) extending in a direction parallel to the pivot plane and being located at the

Art Unit: 3751

front end of the shaft A at a distance from the main axis and on both sides thereof (note Fig. 5) for guiding the adjustment of the inclination angle.

17. Claims 28 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganter (German Patent '614). Ganter discloses a writing instrument having all the claimed features including a sleeve-shaped shaft b, a main axis (note the axis formed by shaft b), a terminal part at a rear end of the shaft b (constituted by the end of shaft b opposite to the writing tip a), a substantially conical tip device (constituted by the lower end of writing tip a) at a front end portion of the shaft b, the tip device being variably inclinable by being controlled by the joint c and the nut d and pivotable or inclinable in a pivot plane comprising the main axis and relative to the shaft b, and an inclination angle of a cone axis of the tip device a being adjustable in relation to the main axis. With regard to claim 28, Ganter also discloses guiding means (constituted by the nut d) extending in a direction parallel to the pivot plane and being located at the front end of the shaft b at a distance from the main axis and on both sides thereof (note Fig. 5) for guiding the adjustment of the inclination angle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 19. Claims 31-33 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bacou in view of Ducharme. Bacou discloses a writing instrument having the claimed features including a sleeve-shaped shaft or body 12, a main axis (note the axis formed by shaft 12), a terminal part at a rear end of the shaft 12 (constituted by the end adjacent the cover 13), a substantially conical tip device (constituted by the end of shaft 12 at writing tip 9) at a front end portion of the shaft 12, the tip device being variably inclinable by being controlled by the material forming junction 11 and

Art Unit: 3751

pivotable or inclinable in a pivot plane comprising the main axis and relative to the shaft 12, and an inclination angle of a cone axis x'-x (note Fig. 1) of the tip device being adjustable in relation to the main axis, and wherein the terminal part is received in the shaft end portion for permitting an opening of the writing instrument to exchange the refilling device 8. Although Bacou fails to disclose that the terminal end part is rotatably received in the shaft, attention is directed to Ducharme who discloses another writing instrument having a terminal end part constituted by cap 17 screw threadably, i.e., rotatably, received in the shaft 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the writing instrument art, at the time the invention was made, to substitute for the terminal end part of Bacou, the screw threaded terminal end part or cap as, for example, taught by Ducharme wherein so doing would amount to mere substitution of one terminal end cap for another that would work equally well in the Bacou device. With respect to claim 18, Ducharme further teaches the obviousness of restricting the rotation of the terminal end part by tightness and a circumferential "lock-in" position where the screw threads end. With respect to claim 32, Ducharme further teaches the obviousness of the terminal end part being received by means of thread elements (note lines 58-62 in col. 1). With regard to claim 33, Ducharme also teaches the obviousness of the terminal end part or cap having an inclined surface at a front portion thereof (note Fig. 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

20. Claims 30 and 22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments filed September 8, 2003 (Paper No. 12) have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive.

Art Unit: 3751

22. Contrary to applicant's arguments, new claim 28 does not incorporate the subject matter of original claim 7 which was previously indicated to be allowable.

Conclusion

23. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kathleen J. Prunner whose telephone number is 703-306-9044. The examiner can usually be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson, can be reached on 703-308-2580.

The Official FAX phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is: 703-872-9306. This FAX is located in Crystal Mall, Building 1, which is some distance away from the examiner's location in Crystal Park, Building 1. Hence, the examiner has no immediate access to faxes.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

Kathleen J. Prunner:kjp

December 8, 2003

GREGORY L. HUSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700